arXiv:1410.3408v1 [cs.DS] 13 Oct 2014

An $O(n^3)$ time algorithm for the maximum weight b-matching problem on bipartite graphs

Fatemeh Rajabi-Alni*[1], Alireza Bagheri[2], and Behrouz Minaei-Bidgoli[3]

[1]Department of Computer Engineering, Islamic Azad University, North Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran
[2]Department of Computer Engineering and IT, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
[3]Department of Computer Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran, Iran

October 14, 2014

Abstract

A *matching* between two sets A and B assigns some elements of A to some elements of B. Finding the similarity between two sets of elements by advantage of the matching is widely used in computational biology. Frequently, the capacities of the elements are limited. That is, the number of the elements that can be matched to each element should not exceed a given number. We describe the first $O(n^3)$ time algorithm for matching two sets of elements with limited capacities.

We use bipartite graphs to model relationships between pairs of objects. Let $G = (A \cup B, E)$ denote an undirected bipartite graph with real edge weights and node capacities $b(v)$. *The b-matching* of G matches each vertex v in A (B) to at least 1 and at most $b(v)$ vertices in B (A). In this paper, we present an $O(n^3)$ time algorithm for finding the maximum weight b-matching of G, where $|A|+|B| = O(n)$. Our algorithm improves the previous best time complexity of $O(n^3 \log n)$ for this problem.

1 Introduction

Given two sets of objects A and B, *matching* measures the similarity between A and B. The matching has many uses including computational biology and pattern recognition [Colannino *et al.*, 2006, Ben-Dor *et al.*, 2003, Demirci *et al.*, 2006].

*Corresponding Author. fatemehrajabialni@yahoo.com

We represent the sets and their relations using a bipartite graph. For example, we can represent genes and conditions with vertices and co-expression levels with edge weights [Tanay *et al.*, 2002]. Given a weighted bipartite graph $G = (A \cup B, E)$, a *matching* in G is the set of the vertex disjoint edges $M \subseteq E$. The weight of the matching M is the sum of the weights of all edges in M, hence

$$W(M) = \sum_{e \in M} W(e),$$

where W(e) denotes the weight of the edge e. A *maximum weight matching* MWM is a matching that for any other matching M', we have $W(M') \leq W(MWM)$. *The perfect matching* is a subset of edges $PM \subseteq E$ such that every vertex of G is adjacent to exactly one edge of PM. The first polynomial time algorithm for computing the maximum weight perfect bipartite matching (MWPBM) is the classic Hungarian algorithm [Kuhn, 1955, Munkres, 1957]. Then, [Fredman *et al.*, 1987] solved it in $mn + n^2 \log n$ time by implementing the Hungarian algorithm using fibonacci heaps. Later, other algorithms were developed for bipartite graphs with integer weights [Fredman *et al.*, 1987, Gabow, 1983, Gabow *et al.*, 1989, Orlin *et al.*, 1992, Sankowski, 2006, Duan, 2012].

The capacity of a vertex v is the number of the vertices that can be matched to v, denoted by $b(v)$. We use $deg(v)$ to refer to the degree of the vertex v. Given an undirected bipartite graph $G = (A \cup B, E)$, *the b-matching* finds an edge set such that $1 \leq deg(v) \leq b(v)$ for all $v \in A \cup B$. In many applications the capacities of objects are limited. For example, consider a biological pattern A, called *the target pattern*, as a set of points. When we want to find the target pattern A in other set of points B, the number of the points of A matched to each point of B is limited. The best known algorithm for the maximum weight b-matching problem has the time complexity of $O(n^3 \log n)$, where $|A| + |B| = n$ and $|E| = m$ [Kleinschmidt *et al.*, 1995]. In this paper, we present an $O(n^3)$ time algorithm for the maximum weight b-matching problem. Our algorithm is an interesting one for example in dense graphs.

In Section 2, we review the basic Hungarian algorithm and some preliminary definitions. In Section 3, we present our new algorithm.

2 Preliminaries

Let $G = (A \cup B, E)$ with $|A| = |B| = n$ and $|E| = m$ be a weighted bipartite graph that in which the edge weights are real values. A path with the edges alternating between the edges of the matching M and $E - M$ is called an *alternating path*. Each vertex v that is incident to an edge in M is called a *matched vertex*; otherwise it is a *free vertex*. An alternating path with two free endpoints is called an *augmenting path*. Note that if the M edges of an augmenting path is replaced with the $E - M$ ones, its size increases by 1.

A *vertex labeling* is a function $l : V \to \mathbb{R}$ that assigns a label to each vertex $v \in V$. A vertex labeling that in which $l(a) + l(b) \geq W(a, b)$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ is called a *feasible labeling*. The equality graph of a feasible labeling l is a

graph $G = (V, E_l)$ such that $E_l = \{(a, b)|l(a) + l(b) = W(a, b)\}$. The *neighbors* of a vertex $u \in V$ is defined as $N_l(u) = \{v|(v, u) \in E_l\}$. Consider a set of the vertices $S \subseteq V$, the neighbors of S is $N_l(S) = \bigcup_{u \in S} N_l(u)$.

Lemma 1 *Consider a feasible labeling l of an undirected bipartite graph $G = (A \cup B, E)$ and $S \subseteq A$ with $T = N_l(S) \neq B$, let*

$$\alpha_l = \min_{a_i \in S, b_j \notin T} \{l(a_i) + l(b_j) - W(a_i, b_j)\}.$$

If the labels of the vertices of G are updated such that:

$$l'(v) = \begin{cases} l(v) - \alpha_l & if\ v \in S \\ l(v) + \alpha_l & if\ v \in T \\ l(v) & Otherwise \end{cases},$$

then l' is a feasible labeling such that $E_l \subset E'_l$.

Proof. Obviously in the cases $(a \in S, b \in T)$, $(a \notin S, b \in T)$ and $(a \notin S, b \in T)$, we have:

$$l'(a) + l'(b) \geq l(a) + l(b) \geq W(a, b).$$

And for some vertices $a \in S, b \notin T$, we have

$$l'(a) + l'(b) = l(a) - \alpha_l + l(b) = W(a, b).$$

Theorem 1 *If l is a feasible labeling and M is a Perfect matching in E_l, then M is a max-weight matching [Duan, 2012].*

Proof. Suppose that M' is a perfect matching in G, since each vertex is incident to exactly one edge of M' we have:

$$W(M') = \sum_{(a,b) \in M'} W(a, b) \leq \sum_{v \in (A \cup B)} l(v).$$

So, $\sum_{v \in (A \cup B)} l(v)$ is an upper bound for each perfect matching. Now assume that M is a perfect matching in E_l:

$$W(M) = \sum_{e \in M} l(e) = \sum_{v \in (A \cup B)} l(v).$$

Now we review the basic Hungarian algorithm which computes a MWPBM in an undirected bipartite graph $G = (A \cup B, E)$ with $|A| = |B| = n$ (see Algorithm 1). In [Eiter *et al.*, 1997], Eiter and Mannila showed that the MWM in bipartite graphs, called MWBM problem, can be reduced to the MWPBM problem and solved using the Hungarian algorithm in $O(n^3)$ time.

In lines 2 and 3, we label the vertices of the input bipartite graph by a feasible labeling. M is an initial matching which can be empty. In each iteration of the

Algorithm 1 The Basic Hungarian algorithm(G)

Initial ▷ Find an initial feasible labeling l and a matching M in E_l
    Let $l(b_j) = 0$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$
    $l(a_i) = \max_{j=1}^{n} W(a_i, b_j)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$
    $M = \emptyset$
while M is not perfect **do**
    Select a free vertex $a_i \in A$ and set $S = \{a_i\}$, $T = \emptyset$
    for $j \leftarrow 1, n$ **do**
        $slack[j] = l(a_i) + l(b_j) - W(a_i, b_j)$
    repeat
        if $N_l(S) = T$ **then**
            $\alpha_l = \min_{b_j \notin T} slack[j]$
            $Update(l)$ ▷ Update the labels according to Lemma 1
            for all $b_j \notin T$ **do**
                $slack[j] = slack[j] - \alpha_l$
        Select $u \in N_l(S) - T$
        if u is not free **then** ▷ (u is matched to a vertex z, extend the alternating tree)
            $S = S \cup \{z\}, T = T \cup \{u\}$.
            for $j \leftarrow 1, n$ **do**
                $slack[j] = \min(l(z) + l(b_j) - W(z, b_j), slack[j])$
    until u is free
    $Augment(M)$
end whilereturn M

while loop of lines 5–21 the size of M is augmented by 1, so it iterates $O(n)$ times. Let

$$slack[j] = \min_{a_i \in S} l(a_i) + l(b_j) - W(a_i, b_j),$$

by advantage of the array $slack[1..n]$, we can run each iteration of the while loop in $O(n^2)$ time.

The repeat loop in line 9 to 20 runs at most $O(n)$ times until finding a free node b_j. In line 11, we can compute the value of α_l by:

$$\alpha_l = \min_{b_j \notin T} slack[j],$$

in $O(n)$ time. After computing α_l and updating the labels of the vertices, we must also update the values of the slacks:

$$for\ all\ b_j \notin T, slack[j] = slack[j] - \alpha_l.$$

In line 12, we update the feasible labeling l such that $N_l(S) \neq T$. In line 17 of Algorithm 1, when a vertex is moved form $\bar{S}$ to S the values of $skack[1..n]$ must be updated. This is done in $O(n)$ time. $O(n)$ vertices are moved from $\bar{S}$ to S, so it takes the total time of $O(n^2)$.

The value of α_l may be computed at most $O(n)$ times, so each iteration takes at most $O(n^2)$ time and the time complexity of the basic Hungarian algorithm is $O(n^3)$.

3 The maximum weight b-matching algorithm on bipartite graphs

Given a bipartite graph $G = (A \cup B, E), with A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_t\}$ such that $s + t = n$, let $C_A = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s\}$ and $C_B = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_t\}$ denote the capacities of A and B, respectively. In this section, we present an $O(n^3)$ time algorithm for computing a maximum weight b-matching in $G = (A \cup B, E)$, where each vertex $a_i \in A$ must be matched to at least 1 and at most α_i vertices in B, and each vertex $b_j \in B$ must be matched to at least 1 and at most β_j vertices in A for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$.

Firstly, we construct a bipartite graph $G' = (X \cup Y, E)$ with $X = A \cup A'$ and $Y = B \cup B'$ as follows (see Figure 1). Then, we run our algorithm on it.

A *complete connection* between two sets is a connection that in which each element of one set is connected to all elements of the other set. We show each set of the vertices by a rectangle and the complete connection between them by a line connecting the two corresponding rectangles.

Given $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_t\}$, we construct a complete connection between A and B where the weight of (a_i, b_j) is equal to the cost of matching the point a_i to b_j for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$.

Let $B'_j = \{b'_{j1}, b'_{j2}, \ldots, b'_{j(\beta_j - 1)}\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, and $B' = \{B'_1, B'_2, \ldots, B'_t\}$. Each vertex of A is connected to all vertices of B' such that

$$W(a_i, b'_{jk}) = W(a_i, bj)$$



Figure 1: Our constructed complete bipartite graph.

for all $1 \leq k \leq (\beta_j - 1)$.

Let $A'_i = \{a'_{i1}, a'_{i2}, \ldots, a'_{i(\alpha_i - 1)}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $A' = \{A'_1, A'_2, \ldots, A'_s\}$, we also construct a complete connection between the sets B and A' such that

$$W(a'_{ik}, bj) = W(a_i, bj)$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq (\alpha_i - 1)$.

Now we modify the basic Hungarian algorithm to get a new algorithm, called $ModifiedHungarianAlg$ (see Algorithm 2). In the modified Hungarian algorithm, line 5 of Algorithm 1 is changed; the while loop is iterated until matching a subset $A \subseteq X$. The initialization step is also removed.

Our new algorithm consists of two phases (see Algorithm 3); in the first phase the vertices of $A \subseteq X$ are matched, and in the second one the vertices of $B \subseteq Y$. We claim that by applying our algorithm on G', $Maxweight\ b-matching\ Algorithm(G = (A \cup B, E))$, we get a maximum weight b-matching between A and B.

1. **Phase I.** Given an undirected bipartite graph $G' = (X \cup Y, E)$ with $X = A \cup A'$ and $Y = B \cup B'$, in this phase we call $ModifiedHungarianAlg(G', A, M, l)$. It matches the vertices until there does not exist any unmatched vertex in $A \subseteq X$.

2. **Phase II.** In this phase, we call $ModifiedHungarianAlg(G', B, M, l)$. We use the final labels and slacks of the vertices in Phase I, so the labels of the vertices are feasible labeling. We also use the matching of Phase I as the initial matching of Phase II. Once all vertices of $B \subseteq Y$ are matched, this phase terminates. For each $a_i \in A$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, there exists the set $\{a_i\} \cup A'_i$ in G' with α_i nodes. Also there exist β_j copies of each $b_j \in B$ in the set $\{b_j\} \cup B'_j$ in G' for $1 \leq j \leq t$. So, the capacities of the nodes of A and B are satisfied.

3.1 Time complexity of our algorithm

In Phase I, the while loop of lines 1–22 of $ModifiedHungarianAlg(G', A, M, l)$, called *the main loop*, is iterated until all vertices of A are matched to exactly one vertex of $B \cup B'$. Obviously, it iterates $O(n)$ times, since the number of the vertices of A is $O(n)$. In the following, we show that each iteration of the main loop of $ModifiedHungarianAlg(G', A, M, l)$ is done in $O(n^2)$ time.

Observation 1 *The labels of all vertices $b'_{jk} \in B'_j$ that are not matched are equal for all $1 \leq k \leq \beta_j - 1$.*

Initially, we have $l(b'_{jk}) = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq \beta_j - 1$. The Function $Update(l)$ updates the labels of all $b'_{jk} \in T$, that is all b'_{jk} that are matched to a vertex in S. Hence, all free vertices $b'_{jk} \in B'_j$ have equal labels for $1 \leq k \leq \beta_j - 1$.

Observation 2 *The values of the slacks of all vertices $b'_{jk} \in B'_j$ that are not matched are equal for all $1 \leq k \leq \beta_j - 1$.*

Observation 1 implies that the values of the slacks of all free $b'_{jk} \in B'_j$ with $1 \leq k \leq \beta_j - 1$ are equal.

Note that the vertices of B'_j are copies of a single vertex, i.e. b_j. By Observations 1 and 2, all free vertices of B'_j set have equal labels and slacks, so in each iteration of the main loop, we consider only one of free vertices $b'_{jk} \in B'_j$ for all $1 \leq k \leq \beta_j - 1$. That is, in each iteration all free vertices $b'_{jk} \in B'_j$ are considered as a single vertex. Let $B'' = b''_1, b''_2, \ldots, b''_t$, where b''_j is an arbitrary free vertex of B'_j, if exists. Let $Y' = B \cup C \cup B''$, where C is the set of matched vertices of B' with respect to M. In each iteration, we first give the slacks of all $y_j \in Y'$ initial values in $O(n)$ time (lines 9–10). Then the repeat loop of lines 11–22 is iterated until we find an augmenting path with the starting node x_i. Note that if $N_l(S) = T$, we can always update the labels of the vertices of G' to get a new feasible labeling that in which $N_l(S) \neq T$.

Note that there exist at most $O(n)$ matched vertices, i.e. the vertices of A, so the number of the vertices of T and S are at most $O(n)$ vertices. Hence, in line 13 we get the minimum value in $O(n \log n)$ time. Also, updating the labels and slacks is done in $O(n)$ time (lines 14,16,21).

As the first phase, we can show that the time complexity of the second phase is also $O(n^3)$. We observe that the values of the slacks and labels of all free vertices $a'_{ik} \in A'_j$ for all $1 \leq k \leq \alpha_i - 1$ are equal.

Observation 3 *The values of the slacks and labels of all vertices $a'_{ik} \in A'_i$ that are not matched yet are equal for all $1 \leq k \leq \alpha_i - 1$.*

Theorem 2 *Let $G = A \cup B$ be a real weighted bipartite graph with $|A|+|B| = n$, a maximum weight b-matching of G can be computed in $O(n^3)$ time.*

Algorithm 2 ModifiedHungarianAlg($G' = (X \cup Y, E)$,A,M,l)

while $\{u \in A$, with $IsFree(u)\} \neq \emptyset$ **do**
  Select a free vertex $x_i \in A$ and set $S = \{x_i\}$, $T = \emptyset$
  $B'' = \emptyset$
  for $j \leftarrow 1, |B|$ **do**
    Select a free vertex $v \in B'_j$
    $B'' = B'' \cup \{v\}$
  Let C be the set of matched vertices of B'
  $Y' = B \cup C \cup B''$
  for all $y_j \in Y'$ **do**
    $slack[j] = l(x_i) + l(y_j) - W(x_i, y_j)$
  repeat
    if $N_l(S) = T$ **then**
      $\alpha_l = \min_{y_j \in Y' - T} slack[j]$
      $Update(l)$ ▷ Update the labels according to Lemma 1
      for all $y_j \notin T$ **do**
        $slack[j] = slack[j] - \alpha_l$
    Select $u \in N_l(S) - T$
    if u is not free **then** ▷ (u is matched to a vertex z, extend the alternating tree)
      $S = S \cup \{z\}, T = T \cup \{u\}$.
      for all $y_j \in Y' - T$ **do**
        $slack[j] = \min(l(z) + l(y_j) - W(z, y_j), slack[j])$
  until u is free
  $Augment(M)$
end whilereturn M and l

Algorithm 3 Maxweight b-matching Algorithm($G = (A \cup B, E)$)

1: Construct the bipartite grapg $G' = (X \cup Y, E')$ from G with $X = A \cup A'$ and $Y = B \cup B'$

2: Find an initial feasible labeling l and an initial matching M in E_l

3: Let $p = |X|$, $q = |Y|$

4: Let $l(y_j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq q$, and let $l(x_i) = \max_{j=1}^{q} W(x_i, y_j)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$

5: (M, l) =ModifiedHungarianAlg(G',A,M,l)

6: (M, l) =ModifiedHungarianAlg(G',B,M,l)

References

[Ben-Dor *et al.*, 2003] Ben-Dor, A., Karp, R.M., Schwikowski, B., Shamir, R. (2003) The restriction scaffold problem, *J. Comput. Biol.*, **10(2)**, 385-398.

[Colannino *et al.*, 2006] Colannino, J., Damian, M., Hurtado, F., Iacono, J., Meijer, H., Ramaswami, S., Toussaint, G. (2006) A O(n log n)-time algorithm for the restricted scaffold assignment problem, *J. Comput. Biol.*, **13(4)**.

[Demirci *et al.*, 2006] Demirci, M.F., Shokoufandeh, A., Keselman, Y., Bretzner, L., Dickinson, S. (2006) Object recognition as many-to-many feature matching, *Int. J. Comput. Vis.*, **69(2)** ,203-222.

[Duan, 2012] Duan, R., Su, H.-H. A scaling algorithm for maximum weight matching on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), 2012, pp. 14131424.

[Eiter *et al.*, 1997] Eiter, T., Mannila, H. (1997) Distance measures for point sets and their computation, *Acta Inform.*, **34**, 109-133.

[Fredman *et al.*, 1987] Fredman, M. L., Tarjan, R. E. (1987) Fibonacci heaps and their uses in improved network optimization algorithms, *J. ACM*, **34(3)**, 596-615.

[Gabow, 1983] Gabow, H. N. Scaling algorithms for network problems. In Proc. 24th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pages 248-257, 1983.

[Gabow *et al.*, 1989] Gabow, H. N. Tarjan, R. E. (1989) Faster scaling algorithms for network problems, *SIAM J. Comput.*, **18(5)**, 1013-1036.

[Kleinschmidt *et al.*, 1995] Kleinschmidt, P., Schannath, H. (1995) A strongly polynomial algorithm for the transportation problem, *Math. Program.*, **1-13**, 356357.

[Kuhn, 1955] Kuhn, H. W. The Hungarian method for the assignment problem. Naval Research Logistics Quarterly. 2 (1955) 83-97.

[Munkres, 1957] Munkres, J. (1957) Algorithms for the assignment and transportation problems, *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math*, **5**, 32-38.

[Orlin *et al.*, 1992] Orlin, J. B., Ahuja, R. K. (1992) New scaling algorithms for the assignment and minimum mean cycle problems, *Math. Program.*, **54**, 41-56.

[Sankowski, 2006] Sankowski, P. Weighted bipartite matching in matrix multiplication time. In Proceedings 33rd Int'l Symposium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP), pages 274-285, 2006.

[Tanay *et al.*, 2002] Tanay, A., Sharan, R., Shamir, R. (2002) Discovering statistically significant biclusters in gene expression data, *Bioinformatics.*, **18**, 136144.